05-44481-rdd Doc 7983-1 Filed 05	
ORM B10 (Official Form 10) (10/05) Wachovia Delp UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN	SIII COID POC PUT OI ZI
Name of Debtor	DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case Number: RECEIVED
Delphi Corporation	05-44481 (RDD)
NOTE: This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative expen	se arising after the commencement of the case. A "request" AUG 1 1 2006
for payment of an administrative expense may be filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503	·
Name of Creditor (The person or other entity to whom the debtor owes	☐ Check box if you are aware that anyone
money or property) Wachovia Bank, N.A., successor by merger to	else has filed a proof of claim relating to KURTZMAN CARSON
SouthTrust Bank	your claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.
Name and addresses where notices should be sent	d
Christopher D. Carson	Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy court
Burr & Forman LLP	in this case.
420 N 20th Street, Suite 3100	☐ Check box if the address differs from ☐ No copy to return
Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone No. (205)251-3000	the address on the envelope sent to
	you by the court. This Space is for Court Use Only
Last four digits of account or other number by which creditor identifies debtor:	Check here ☐ replaces
	a previously filed claim, dated: If this claim
1. Basis for claim	Retiree benefits as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 1114(a)
☐ Goods sold	Wages, salaries, and compensation (Fill out below)
Services performed	Last four digits of your SS #
☐ Money loaned☐ Personal injury/wrongful death	Unpaid compensation for services performed
☐ Taxes	from to
Other: Pre-petition tort, contract, and equitable claims	(date) (date)
2. Date debt was incurred:	3. If court judgment, date obtained:
4. Classification of Claim. Check the appropriate box or boxes that best describe your claim and state the amount of the claim at the time case filed.	
See reverse side for important explanations.	
Unsecured Nonpriority Claim \$6,656,624.92 (plus accrui interest and attorneys' fees)	-
interest and accorneys rees	☐ Check this box if your claim is secured by collateral (including
Check this box if: a) there is no collateral or lien securing y	a right of setoff).
claim, or b) your claim exceeds the value of the property securing	g it, or if Brief Description of Collateral:
c) none or only part of your claim is entitled to priority.	☐ Real Estate ☐ Motor Vehicle ☐ Other:
Unsecured Priority Claim	Value of Collateral:
☐ Check this box if you have an unsecured claim, all or part of whentitled to priority.	ich is
•	Amount of arrearage claim and other charges at time case filed included in
Amount entitled to priority: \$	secured claim, if any: \$
Specify the priority of the claim:	Up to \$2,225* of deposits town
Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(I)(A) or (a)(I)(B)	or services for personal, family, or household use - 11 U.S.C. §
Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$10,000)," earned with	in 180 `^^
days before filing of the bankruptcy petition or cessation of the debi business, whichever is earlier 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	Other - Specify applicable paragraph of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).
☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	
Ochanistical to an employee benefit plan - 11 0.3.c. § 507(a)	(5). *Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/1/07 and every 3 years thereafter with respect to cases commenced on or after the date of adjustment.
5. Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed: \$6,656,62	
☐ Check this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to	the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.
6. Credits: The amount of all payments on this claim has been cre	edited and deducted for the purpose of making this proof of THIS SPACE IS FOR
claim.	COURT USE ONLY
7. Supporting Documents: Attach copies of supporting documen	ts, such as promissory notes, purchase orders. invoices.
itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, court judgm	nents, mortgages, security agreements, and evidence of
perfection of lien. DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. If voluminous, attach a summary.	the documents are not available, explain. If the documents are D
8. Date-Stamped Copy: To receive an acknowledgment of the filing of your claim, enclose a stamped, selfaddressed envelope	
I and convertible week of eleies	
Date Sign and print the name and title, if any. of the creditor or other person authorized to tile this claim (attach	
copy of power of attorney, if any):	
CLAIMS PROCESSING CENTER	
July 27, 2006 Christoffee D Carset Attempts for Week	
	ovia Bank, N.A., successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank
Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: Fihe of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to	5 years or both, 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 3571.

05-44481-rdd Doc 7983-1 Filed 05/21/07 Entered 05/21/07 16:34:51 Exhibit D-1 Wachovia Delphi Corp POC Pg 2 of 21

BURR & FORMAN LLP 420 North 20th Street Suite 3100 Birmingham, Alabama 35203 (205) 251-3000 Christopher D. Carson Jason D. Woodard Jennifer A. Harris

Attorneys for Creditor Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re : Chapter 11

DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE

SYSTEMS, LLC : Case No. 05-44640 (RDD)

Debtor. :

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PROOF OF CLAIM OF WACHOVIA BANK

COMES NOW Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank ("Wachovia"), and, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 501 and 502, files this Statement in Support of its Proof of Claim, which reflects a claim in the amount of \$\$6,656,624.92 as of the petition date, plus accruing interest, costs, and attorneys fees. In support of its claim, Wachovia states the following:

1. Wachovia holds pre-petition claims against Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC, Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems (collectively, "Delphi"), for misrepresentation, suppression, conspiracy, breach of

05-44481-rdd Doc 7983-1 Filed 05/21/07 Entered 05/21/07 16:34:51 Exhibit D-1 Wachovia Delphi Corp POC Pg 3 of 21

contract, interference with business relationship, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing,

negligence, and promissory estoppel.

2. On March 3, 2003, Wachovia filed suit against Delphi in the Circuit Court for the

Second Judicial District of Hinds County Mississippi (the "Mississippi State Court"),

commencing litigation on its pre-petition claims and seeking compensatory damages, attorneys'

fees and costs, and punitive damages.

3. Litigation of Wachovia's pre-petition claims against Delphi in the Mississippi

State Court is currently stayed by virtue of Delphi's bankruptcy. A true and correct copy of

Wachovia's complaint, which sets forth the basis of its claims against Delphi, is attached hereto

as Exhibit 1.

4. Wachovia's claim against the estate of the above-captioned Debtor as of the

petition date includes \$966,750.68 in compensatory damages, \$856,120.84 in attorneys' fees and

2

expenses, and \$4,833,753.40 in punitive damages.

Dated this the 28th day of July, 2006

Christopher D. Carson Jason D. Woodard

Jennifer A. Harris

OF COUNSEL: BURR & FORMAN LLP

3100 Wachovia Tower 420 North 20th Street

Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone: (205) 251-3000

Facsimile: (205) 458-5100

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the Proof of Claim of Wachovia Bank, National Association, successor by merger to SouthTrust Bank, and the Statement in Support of the Proof of Claim of Wachovia Bank and its accompanying Exhibit have been served on the following counsel for the Debtor, via first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on this the 28th day of July 2006:

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP John Wm. Butler, Jr. John K. Lyons Ron E. Meisler 333 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

Kayalyn A. Marafioti Thomas J. Matz FourtTimes Square New York, New York 10036

OF COUNSEL

Apr-14-03 03:43P

Wachovia Delphi Corp POC Pg 5 of 21

P.02

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

SOUTHTRUST BANK, a corporation

Plaintiff.

v.

LEXTRON CORPORATION, a corporation; CHARLES DOTY, an individual; LEXTRON AUTOMOTIVE. LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI CORPORATION, a corporation: DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS USA, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS, LLC, a limited liability company; DELPHI PACKARD, DELPHI PACKARD ELECTRIC SYSTEMS, DELPHI **ENERGY & CHASSIS SYSTEMS, and** DELPHI SAFETY AND INTERIOR SYSTEMS, divisions, subsidiaries or affiliates of defendant, Delphi Corporation,

FILED

APR 14 2003

BARBARA DUNN, CIRCUIT CLERK BY___

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2003 - 14

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Comes Now plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank, and for its Complaint against defendants Charles Doty, Lextron Corporation, Lextron Automotive, LLC, Delphi Corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC, Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC, Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems (collectively, the "Defendants"), states the following:

EXHIBIT

I. PARTIES

- Plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank, is an Alabama banking corporation, doing 1. business in Hinds County, Mississippi ("SouthTrust").
- 2. Defendant Lextron Corporation ("Lextron Corp.") is a Mississippi corporation with its principal place of business located in the City of Jackson, Hinds County, Mississippi. Lextron Corp. is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of the unpaid Loans (herein after defined) owing to SouthTrust.
- Defendant Charles Doty ("Doty") is an Individual resident citizen of Hinds 3. County, Mississippi. Doty is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of his personal guarantee of the Loans.
- Defendant Lextron Automotive, LLC ("Lextron Automotive," and together 4. with Lextron Corp., "Lextron") is a Mississippi limited liability company with its principal place of business located in the City of Jackson, Hinds County, Mississippi. Lextron Automotive is named as a defendant in this action by virtue of its guarantee of the Loans.
- Defendant Delphi Corporation ("Delphi Corp.") is a Delaware corporation 5. doing business in Mississippi.
- 6. Defendant Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC ("Delphi Auto USA") is a Michigan limited liability company doing business in Mississippi.
- 7. Defendant Delphi Automotive, LLC ("Delphi Automotive") is a Delaware limited liability doing business in Mississippi.
- Defendants Delphi Packard, Delphi Packard Electric Systems, Delphi 8. Energy & Chassis Systems, and Delphi Safety and Interior Systems are divisions,

subsidiaries or affiliates of Delphi Corp., Delphi Auto USA and/or Delphi Automotive, and are doing business in Mississippi (collectively, and together with Delphi Corp., Delphi Auto USA and Delphi Automotive, the "Delphi Defendants").

9. The Delphi Defendants are named as defendants in this action by virtue of covenants, assurances, commitments, fraud, negligence, breaches of covenants of good faith and fair dealing, and misrepresentations made to SouthTrust regarding the Delphi Defendants' business relationship with Lextron.

II. FACTS

- 10. From time to time, SouthTrust made loans (the "Loans") to Lexiron to fund its business operations, including its manufacturing and assembly business with the Delphi Defendants. The Loans are evidenced by various promissory notes and credit agreements (the "Loan Documents"). [Copies of the Loan Documents, the Collateral Documents (hereinafter defined) and the Guaranties (hereinafter defined) are so voluminous that it is not practical to attach copies to this Complaint. Such copies will be made available to the Court, the jury and the Defendants].
- 11. The Loans are secured by various mortgages, security agreements and other collateral documents made by Lextron in favor of SouthTrust (the "Collateral Documents").
- 12. Doty and Lextron Automotive unconditionally guaranteed the Loans as evidenced by various guaranties executed in favor of SouthTrust (the "Guaranties" and together with the Loan Documents and the Collateral Documents, the "Credit Documents").
- 13. Defaults occurred under the Credit Documents, and all applicable cure periods have expired. Lextron and Doty failed to cure such defaults. The total amount

of the Loans, including all principal, interest and agreed charges, are now due and payable by Lextron and Doty.

- 14. Since 1997 Lextron has been a manufacturer, assembler and supplier of certain automobile parts for one or more of the Delphi Defendants.
- 15. The Delphi Defendants shipped raw materials to Lextron, creating an account payable ("Accounts Payable") owing by Lextron to the Delphi Defendants.
- 16. Lextron manufactured finished products from the raw materials and shipped the finished products back to the Delphi Defendants thereby creating accounts receivable owing by the Delphi Defendants ("Accounts Receivable").
- 17. The Accounts Receivable were to exceed the Accounts Payable, and the Delphi Defendants would pay the excess to Lextron; such excess represented Lextron's gross profits from this arrangement. The Accounts Receivable were pledged to SouthTrust as security for the Loans pursuant to the terms of the Collateral Documents.
- 18. The Delphi Defendants frequently turned to Lextron to perform work that was to be completed by other suppliers who could not meet the Delphi Defendants' production demands.
- 19. The business relationship between Lextron and the Delphi Defendants grew to the point that by August 2001 Lextron completed construction of a 59,000 square foot, state-of-the-art warehouse/office facility in Jackson, Mississippi to ensure that Lextron could meet the production demands of the Dephi Defendants.
- 20. Lextron received over 90% of its revenue from the work it performed for the Delphi Defendants, and the Delphi Defendants were aware of this fact.

21. Apparently due to rapid growth in business, in November 2002, Lextron began experiencing financial difficulties and defaults occurred under the Credit Documents. Andy Raine, a Vice-President of SouthTrust ("Raine"), met with Lextron and Doty to discuss a strategy for Lextron to work through its financial difficulties and repay the Loans to SouthTrust.

- Due to the defaults, SouthTrust entered into a Forbearance Agreement dated. December 31, 2002 with Lextron and Doty, whereby it was agreed that SouthTrust would forbear for a period of time from exercising its remedies to collect the Loans and otherwise forbear from enforcing the Credit Documents. It was anticipated that during this period of forbearance, Lextron would work through its financial problems.
- 23. Approximately one week later, in early January of 2003, Mr. Raine received a telephone call from Sidney Johnson ("Johnson"). Director of Purchasing for Delphi Automotive. Mr. Johnson asked SouthTrust to lend Lextron additional money so Lextron could pay its payroll due to employees.
- 24. Mr. Raine told Mr. Johnson that SouthTrust would not loan Lextron additional money without assurances from the Delphi Defendants that they would not terminate their business relationship with Lextron, and that Lextron would have enough work from the Delphi Defendants to continue in business. Mr. Johnson gave Mr. Raine those assurances, but these promises were not true.
- 25. After Mr. Johnson's phone conversation with Mr. Raine, Greg Naylor ("Naylor") and Martha "Marty" Everett ("Everett") from the Delphi Defendants, on

separate occasions, discussed with Mr. Raine Lextron's financial status and Lextron's relationship with the Delphi Defendants.

- 26. In those conversations, Mr. Naylor and Ms. Everett specifically stated that the Delphi Defendants would continue their business relationship with Lextron and specifically requested that SouthTrust lend additional sums of money to Lextron and to forbear taking any collection action against Lextron.
- 27. In these conversations, Mr. Raine requested that the Delphi Defendants provide written confirmation of their commitment to Lextron, and on or about January 9, 2003, Larry W. Graves, North American Purchasing Director Delphi Packard Electric Systems, ("Graves") sent a memorandum to Mr. Raine via e-mail (the "Delphi Memo") with copies going to Mr. Johnson, Mr. Naylor and Ms. Everett.
- 28. The Delphi Memo made reference to the conversations between representatives of SouthTrust and the Dephi Defendants, and went on to say that "I am writing you [SouthTrust] this memo to re-Iterate Delphi Packard's (Delphi-P) focus to maintain Lextron corporation as a supplier. We have the resources to assist Lextron, including a reduction in our payment terms to Net 15, during its cash flow shortfall." The Delphi Memo specifically asked that "because of Delphi-P's support and interest in Lextron, Delphi-P is requesting SouthTrust Bank to continue its support of Lextron."
- 29. In reliance on the assurances made in the phone conversations with Mr. Johnson, Mr. Naylor, Ms. Everett and Mr. Graves, and in reliance of the representations made in the Delphi Memo, SouthTrust loaned Lextron an additional \$800,000.

- 30. At all times during their communications with SouthTrust, the Delphi Defendants were fully aware of Lextron's financial difficulties.
- 31. Even though the Delphi Defendants had given the foregoing assurances to SouthTrust, SouthTrust learned on February 25, 2003 that the Delphi Defendants intended to terminate their business relationship with Lextron effective February 28, 2003.
- 32. Representatives of Lextron, its financial consultant and SouthTrust phoned the Delphi Defendants several times to discuss the termination of Lextron as a supplier; however no one from any of the Delphi Defendants ever attempted to explain their decision to abruptly terminate Lextron.
- 33. Moreover, on February 28, 2003. SouthTrust sent a letter to Delphi Corp. (the "February 28 Letter") expressing its concerns regarding the Delphi Defendants' unliateral decision to terminate the Lextron relationship, and the increase in the Loans in reliance upon the assurances given by the Delphi Defendants.
 - No one from the Delphi Defendants responded to the February 28 Letter.
- 35. Because the Delphi Defendants abruptly terminated their business relationship with Lextron, Lextron was forced to lay-off approximately 150 employees and cease all but a minor part of its manufacturing operations.
- 36. After the Delphi Defendants terminated their business relationship with Lextron, SouthTrust learned that the Delphi Defendants were moving the manufacturing work previously performed by Lextron "in-house," presumably to their Delphi Hinds County, Mississippi facility.

37. The Delphi Defendants have not attempted to hire any of the 150 employees laid-off by Lextron.

COUNT I

NON-PAYMENT OF LOANS

- 38. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 37.
- 39. Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive and Doty are obligated to repay the Loans to SouthTrust pursuant to the terms of the Credit Documents.
- 40. Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive and Doty breached their obligations owing to SouthTrust by failing to pay the principal of, interest on and agreed charged due on the Loans.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment against Lextron Corp., Lextron Automotive, and Doty, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount equal to the total principal, interest and agreed charges (including attorney's fees and other expenses of collection) due on the Loans and otherwise owing under the Credit Documents, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II - MISREPRESENTATION

- 41. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 40 above.
- 42. The Delphi Defendants intentionally, willfully, negligently, wantonly or recklessly misrepresented to SouthTrust that they would continue their manufacturing relationship with Lextron and continue to give business to Lextron (the "Delphi

Representations") for the purpose of inducing SouthTrust to continue its banking relationship with Lextron and to induce SouthTrust to make additional loan advances to Lextron.

- The Delphi Representations were false.
- 44. Delphi made the Delphi Representations to SouthTrust knowing the Delphi Representations were false or with ignorance of their truth.
- 45. Delphi intended that SouthTrust would act upon the Delphi Representations in a manner reasonably contemplated.
 - 46. SouthTrust did not know the Delphi Representations were false.
 - SouthTrust relied on the Delphi Representations.
 - 48. SouthTrust had the right to rely on the Delphi Representations.
- 49. SouthTrust was injured as a consequence of the Delphi Representations being false.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III - SUPPRESSION

50. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 49 above.

- 51. The Delphi Defendants had a duty to disclose existing material facts to SouthTrust regarding their relationship with Lextron.
- 52. The Delphi Defendants suppressed those material facts from SouthTrust to induce SouthTrust to continue its banking relationship with Lextron and make additional loan advances to Lextron.
- 53. SouthTrust was injured and suffered actual damages as a result of the Delphi Defendants' suppression of the material facts.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT IV - CONSPIRACY

- 54. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 53 above.
 - The Delphi Defendants conspired to defraud SouthTrust.
- 56. The Delphi Defendants' committed overt acts of fraud by sending correspondence and having phone conferences with SouthTrust in furtherance of the conspirecy.
 - SouthTrust suffered damages as a result of the fraud and conspiracy.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory

fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems

damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys

appropriate.

B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT V - BREACH OF COVENANTS

- 58. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 57-above.
- 59. The Delphi Representations constituted covenants by the Delphi Defendants that they would continue to give manufacturing, assembly and supply business to Lextron thereby giving Lextron the wherewith all to stay in business and repay the Loans to SouthTrust.
- 60. In consideration of the covenants made by the Delphi Defendants, and at the request of the Delphi Defendants, SouthTrust made additional advances to Lextron on the Loans.
- 61. The Delphi Defendants breached their covenants made to SouthTrust when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 62. SouthTrust suffered damages as a direct consequence of such breach by the Delphi Defendants.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be

determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.

COUNT VI - INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP

- 63. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 62 above.
- 64. SouthTrust and Lextron had a contractual business and banking relationship.
- 65. The Delphi Defendants knew of this relationship between SouthTrust and Lextron.
- 66. The Delphi Defendants intentionally interfered with such business and banking relationship.
- 67. The Delphi Defendants' interference with such business and banking relationship was intentional and willful.
- 68. The Delphi Defendants' interference with such business and banking relationship was not justified.
- 69. The Delphi Defendants' actions were calculated to cause damage to SouthTrust.
- 70. The Delphi Defendants' actions were done with the unlawful purpose of causing damage and loss, without right or justifiable cause.
- 71. SouthTrust has suffered damages as a result of the Delphi Defendants' interference.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

;

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT VII - BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 72. SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 71 above.
- 73. The Delphi Defendants owed a duty of good faith and fair dealing to SouthTrust.
- 74. The Delphi Defendants breached that duty of good faith and fair dealing when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 75. SouthTrust suffered damages that were caused by the Delphi Defendants' breach of good faith and fair dealing.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- A. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- B. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT VIII - NEGLIGENCE

- SouthTrust hereby adopts and incorporates the allegations set forth in the 76, paragraphs 1 through 75 above.
 - 77. The Delphi Defendants owed a duty to SouthTrust.
- 78. The Delphi Defendants breached that duty when they terminated their business relationship with Lextron.
- 79. SouthTrust was injured, and the Delphi Defendants' breach of duty was the proximate and cause-in-fact of SouthTrust's injury.
- SouthTrust suffered actual loss and damage resulting to the interests of 80. the Delphi Defendants.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- В. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT XI - PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

- 81. SouthTrust hereby adopts and Incorporates the allegations set forth in the paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
- 82. The Delphi Defendants promised SouthTrust that the Delphi Defendants would not terminate their business relationship with Lextron and that Lextron would have enough work from the Delphi Defendants to continue in business.

The Delphi Defendants reasonably expected such promises to induce 83. SouthTrust to act by lending additional money to Lextron.

- The expected action or forbearance by SouthTrust was of a definite and 84. substantial character.
- 85. The promises dld, in fact, induce SouthTrust into lending additional money to Lextron.

Wherefore, Premises Considered, SouthTrust demands judgment as follows:

- Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory A. damages in an amount to be determined at trial, plus accruing charges and attorneys fees, costs of this action, and such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- В. Against the Delphi Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

SOUTHTRUST DEMANDS A TRIAL BY STRUCK JURY ON ALL COUNTS OF THIS COMPLAINT

Dennis C. Sweet, III (MB #: 8105) Richard A. Freese (MB # 99885)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, SouthTrust Bank

OF COUNSEL:

LANGSTON SWEET & FREESE, P.C. 201 North President Street Jackson Mississippi 39201 (601) 969-1356 (601) 968-3866 Fax

OF COUNSEL:

LANGSTON SWEET & FREESE, P.C. 2900 Highway 280 Suite 240 Birmingham, AL 35223 (205) 871-4144 (205) 871-4104

OF COUNSEL:

Robert H. Walker, Esquire BURR & FORMAN LLP 210 East Capitol Street - Suite 700 Jackson, MS 39201 (601) 355-3434 (601) 355-5150 Fax

OF COUNSEL:

D. Christopher Carson, Esquire Christina A. Graham, Esquire BURR & FORMAN LLP 3100 SouthTrust Tower 420 North 20th Street Birmingham, AL 35203 (205) 251-3000 (205) 358-5100 Fax

DEFENDANTS ADDRESSES:

Charles Doty 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Lextron Corporation 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Lextron Automotive, LLC 249 Mitchell Avenue Jackson, Mississippi 39213

Delphi Corporation

P.18

5725 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098-2815

Delphi Automotive Systems USA, LLC 6546 Mercantile Way Lansing, Michigan 48911

Delphi Automotive Systems, LLC 7525 Delphi Drive Troy, Michigan 48098

Delphi Packard 408 Dana Street Warren, Ohio 44486

Delphi Packard Electric Systems 408 Dana Street Warren, Ohio 44486

Delphi Energy & Chassis Systems 5725 Delphi Drive, Building D Troy, Michigan 48098

Delphi Safety & Interior Systems 1401 Crooks Road M/C: 480-009-130 Troy, Michigan 48084